arXiv:1412.1695v3 [math.RA] 7 Nov 2016

Convolutional codes from unit schemes.

Ted Hurley*

Abstract

Algebraic methods for the construction, design and analysis of series of convolutional codes using row or block structures of unit schemes are developed. The general methods lead to the construction and analysis of series and infinite series of types of convolutional codes and of codes with specific properties. Explicit examples are given and properties may be shown algebraically. Algebraic decoding methods are derived for some of the constructions.

Convolutional codes at or near the maximum free distances attainable are constructible from the general method.

Unit schemes with particular properties enables the construction, design and analysis of LDPC (low density parity check) convolutional codes and of self-dual and dual-containing convolutional codes.

1 Introduction

Convolutional codes are error-correcting codes which are used extensively in many applications including digital video, radio, mobile communication, and satellite/space communications.

Background on convolutional codes may be found in [1], [16], [17], [18] and others. The oft quoted theory by McEliece, [18], points out the lack of algebraic methods for the construction of convolutional codes and notes that many of the existing ones have been found by search methods which limit their size and availability. Here algebraic formulations using unit schemes are developed for designing and analysing convolutional codes.

Different equivalent definitions for convolutional codes are given in the literature and these are analysed nicely in [22]. Interest here lies in algebraic definitions and formulations.

A rate k/n convolutional code with parameters (n, k, δ) over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{F}[z]^n$ generated by a reduced basic matrix $G[z] = (g_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}[z]^{r\times n}$ of rank r where n is the length, $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i$ is the *degree* with $\delta_i = \max_{1\leq j\leq k} \deg g_{ij}$. Also $\mu = \max_{1\leq i\leq r} \delta_i$ is known as the *memory* of the code and then the code may be given with parameters $(n, k, \delta; \mu)$. The parameters $(n, r, \delta; \mu, d_f)$ are used for such a code with free (minimum) distance d_f.

A convolutional code may equivalently be described as follows. A convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n and dimension k is a direct summand of $\mathbb{F}[z]^n$ of rank k. See for example [7] and [22]. Here $\mathbb{F}[z]$ is the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}[z]^n = \{(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) : v_i \in \mathbb{F}[z]\}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a convolutional code in $\mathbb{F}[z]^n$ of rank k. A generating matrix $G[z] \in \mathbb{F}[z]_{k\times n}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ having rank k is called a *generator* or *encoder matrix* of $\mathcal{C}$. A matrix $H \in \mathbb{F}[z]_{n\times(n-k)}$ satisfying $\mathcal{C} = \ker H = \{v \in \mathbb{F}[z]^n : vH = 0\}$ is said to be a *control matrix* or *check matrix* of the code $\mathcal{C}$.

The constructions here use rows or blocks of unit schemes. Series of non-equivalent convolutional codes may be obtained from the same unit system.

Varying the type or model of the unit scheme enables convolutional codes of different types or models to be constructed with specific properties. In Section 3.1 invertible matrices which satisfy what is termed the Chebotarëv property are used to construct good convolutional codes. Fourier matrices of a certain sizes over some fields and certain Vandermonde matrices over specified fields are such matrices.

Using schemes where one of the units has low density allows the construction of LDPC (low density parity check) convolutional codes, Section 5.

*National Universiy of Ireland Galway, email: Ted.Hurley@NuiGalway.ie

Keywords: Code, Convolutional, Unit, Scheme.
MSC Classification: 94B10, 11T71, 16S99

Specialising to orthogonal schemes leads to the construction of series of self-dual and dual-containing convolutional codes, Section 6. Dual-containing (which includes self-dual) codes lead to the construction of quantum codes, [2]; see also [4].

Section 7 contains a summary with some conclusions which could be read at this stage.

The methods allows the construction of the right inverse of the generator matrix when it is noncatastrophic. The construction of the control matrix when the generator matrix is known to be noncatastrophic is then relatively straightforward. In certain cases the form of the control matrix leads to (algebraic) implementable error-correcting algorithms. Once the errors have been corrected the right inverse may be employed to produce the information vector. The papers [8], [23] also introduce certain algebraic decoding techniques for some convolutional codes. Vetterbi or sequential decoding are also available for convolutional code, see [1], [16] or [17] and references therein, but these aspects are not dealt with here.

For a given generator matrix $G[z] \in \mathbb{F}[z]_{r\times n}$ of a convolutional code, a codeword is of the form $u(z)G[z]$ with $u(z) \in \mathbb{F}[z]^r$. Here $u(z)$ is *the information vector* of the codeword $u[z]G[z]$. Now $u(z) = \sum_i \alpha_i z^i$ for vectors $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}^r$; the α_i are the *components* of $u(z)$. The *support* of $u(z)$ is the number of non-zero (vector) components of $u(z)$.

Some of the the codes constructed have the property that the maximum bound is attained by the codeword for small support of the information vector and as the support of the information vector increases the (free) distance of the codeword is increased. The fields can be relatively small.

The maximum distance attainable by an (n, r) linear code is $(n - r + 1)$ and this is known as the *Singleton bound*, see [1] or [18]. A linear code (n, r) attaining the maximum distance possible is called an *mds* (maximum distance separable) code. By Rosenthal and Smarandache, [21], the maximum free distance attainable by an (n, r, δ) code is $(n-r)(\lfloor \delta/r \rfloor + 1) + \delta + 1$. The case $\delta = 0$, which is the case of zero memory, corresponds to the linear Singleton bound $(n-r+1)$. The bound $(n-r)(\lfloor \delta/r \rfloor + 1) + \delta + 1$ is then called the *generalised Singleton bound*, [21], GSB, and a convolutional code attaining this bound is known as an *MDS convolutional code*.

In [21], Rosenthal and Smarandache gave a a non-constructive proof of the existence of (n, r, δ) MDS codes and then in [31] explicit examples over suitably large fields are given. These papers [21] and [31] are really nice and are major contributions.

The paper [9] of Napp and Smarandache discusses MDP (maximum distance profile) convolutional codes and constructs such codes. The paper [3] discusses methods for constructing unit memory MDS convolutional codes.

1.1 Section organisation

Section 1.2 presents further algebraic background material. Section 2 describes the general methods and proves some related results. Prototype initial constructions are given in Section 2.3; this section could now be consulted to see some of the types of the constructions although in general the constructions are not restricted to these. Algebraic algorithmic decoding methods for some of the convolutional codes constructed are derived in Section 2.4. Section 3 discusses the Chebotarëv property[2] for matrices. Construction examples from these unit schemes are given in Section 3.2; these may also be consulted at this stage to see the scope of such unit scheme construction.

The constructions in Sections 2.3 and 3.2 can also serve as prototypes for longer length schemes.

Section 4 generalises the row structure design method to a block structure design method. Section 5 describes methods for designing and analysing LDPC (low density parity check) convolutional codes. Section 6 deals with the design and properties of self-dual and dual-containing convolutional codes. Self-dual and dual-containing codes have been used for constructing quantum codes.

Some of the block cases in Section 4 overlap some of those in [20].

1.2 Further algebraic background

Required background on algebra may be found in [19]. In [24] and [11] methods are given for constructing unit-derived (linear) codes and these may be described essentially as follows; further details may be found in expanded book chapter form in [25].

[2]This property is related to the properties of 'fully superregular matrices' introduced in [6] with which to construct types of convolutional codes.

Let $R_{n\times n}$ denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from R, a ring usually a field but not restricted to such. Suppose $UV = 1$ in $R_{n\times n}$. Taking any r rows of U as a generator matrix defines an (n, r) code and the check matrix is obtained by deleting the corresponding columns of V. Let such a code be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_r$. When R is a field and the matrix V has the property that the determinant of any square submatrix of V is non-zero then any such code $\mathcal{C}_r$ is an mds $(n, r, n - r + 1)$ linear code, see [12] for details.

Convolutional codes have been constructed in [14] using $UV = 1$ in which $\{U, V\}$ are themselves polynomial or group rings; further details on these may be obtained in book chapter form in [26].

1.2.1 MDS linear codes

One of the methods in [12] for the construction of series of MDS linear codes involves choosing rows of certain matrices where the inverses of the matrices have the property that the determinant of any square submatrix is non-zero. Some finite fields over which matrices exist with this property are also given in [12]. The following is known and easy to prove.

Proposition 1.1 *Suppose $UV = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{n\times n}$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, is such that the determinant of any square submatrix of V is non-zero. Then a code $\mathcal{C}_r$ formed using any r rows of U is an mds $(n, r, n - r + 1)$.*

Proof: The proof follows for example from Theorem 3.2.2/Corollary 3.2.3 of [1] as the check matrix is obtained using certain columns of V, see [24], and any square submatrix of V has non-zero determinant. □

Choosing any r rows of U allows the construction of different (n, r) codes from an $(n \times n)$ unit system $UV = I$; when V satisfies the conditions as in Proposition 1.1 each code is mds.

Here convolutional codes are constructed from a system $UV = 1$ and when V has this subdeterminant property the convolutional codes constructed should also have good properties.

2 Convolutional codes from unit schemes

Suppose that $UV = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{n\times n}$. Denote the rows of U by $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$ and the columns of V by $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}\}$. Then $e_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker delta. Choose any r rows of U to form an $r \times n$ matrix E which then has rank r. Let $\{E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_s\}$ be s such $r \times n$ matrices. Define

$$G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + \ldots + E_s z^s. \tag{1}$$

Consider $G[z]$ to be a generating matrix for a convolutional code. Any r of the rows may be chosen with which to construct the E_i and there are $\binom{n}{r}$ ways of choosing r rows. Also assume $E_i \neq E_j$ for $i \neq j$. Not every choice of rows with which to construct an E_i will be suitable or useful.

It is desirable to construct the E_i so that $G[z]$ is *noncatastrophic*. For definition of noncatastrophic see for example [1] or [18]. Here it is sufficient to note, see [18], that $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic if it has a polynomial right inverse, that is, if there exists a polynomial $n \times r$ matrix $H[z]$ of rank r such that $G[z]H[z] = I_r$, the identity $r \times r$ matrix.

For a given $n \times n$ system $UV = 1$ and a given $r < n$ the method allows the construction of codes of rate r/n.

In equation (1) the degree δ of the code generated by $G[z]$ is rs. At times we may want to use this type of convolutional code with the same rank but with smaller degree. We thus introduce the following variation. Choose $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{s-1}$ as before but now construct E_s by choosing $r - t$ rows of U for some $t < r$ to form a $(r - t) \times n$ matrix and then adding t rows consisting of zeros to this matrix at the end to form the matrix E_s. Define

$$G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + \ldots + \hat{E}_s z^s. \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{E}_s$ indicates that this matrix is formed from U but may be filled out by rows of zeros; that is, allow the matrix coefficient of the highest coefficient of z to have rows of zeros at its end. This allows the construction of codes with smaller δ. Indeed many existing convolutional codes can be shown to be of type as in equation (1) or as in equation (2).

Another variation is to allow some of the E_i for $1 \leq i < s$ to be entirely the zero matrix so that some powers of z do not appear; the ones constructed though are any better or more useful although some

existing codes in the literature occur in this way. Variations are also possible by attaching coefficients to the rows e_i of U; these variations are not used here as the no new type of codes are obtained. Another variation is to allow some of the E_i to have some rows consisting of zeros; this may reduce the degree of the generated convolutional code.

Now $U = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$ are the row and column structures of U, V respectively. Then $e_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$. Each E_i is made up of rows of U and say $e_j \in E_i$ if E_i contains the row e_j and $e_j \notin E_i$ to mean that E_i does not contain the row e_j. Define E_j^* to be the transpose of E_j with ${e_i}^{\mathrm{T}}$ replaced by f_i. For example if $E = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix}$ then $E^* = (f_0, f_1, f_3)$.

Because $e_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$ the construction of the right inverse of $G[z]$, when it exists, and the construction of the control matrix for the convolutional code generated by $G[z]$ becomes straightforward. Knowing the control matrix can then give an algebraic decoding technique.

When E_0 is formed from rows e_i which are not contained in any of the other E_i, for $i \geq 1$, then the resulting matrix $G[z]$ in (1) will be non-catastrophic; this is shown as follows by proving that then $G[z]$ has a one-sided polynomial inverse.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + \ldots + E_s z^r$, as in equation (1), and that $e_j \in E_0$ implies $e_j \notin E_i$ for $i \neq 0$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a convolutional (n, r) code.*

Proof: By [18] if there exists a polynomial matrix $H[z]$ such that $G[z]H[z] = I_r$, the identity $r \times r$ matrix, then $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic and it must then have rank r. Now $e_i f_j = \delta_{ij}$ and thus $E_i E_0^* = \delta_{i0} I_r$ as no row of E_i is a row of E_0 for $i \neq 0$. It then follows that $G[z]E_0^* = I_r$. □

The above is a simple case but many other cases exist where the $G[z]$ constructed is noncatastrophic; see the 'principles' adopted in Section 2.1 below. In these cases the control matrix may also be constructed in short order.

2.1 Noncatastrophic or catastrophic

In designing such a $G[z]$ as in equation (1) or (2) it is desirable that $G[z]$ be noncatastrophic. To ensure a noncatastrophic generator matrix is constructed using formula (1) or (2) the following principles should be applied.

- If a row occurs in both E_j and E_j for $i \neq j$ then it should not occur at the same 'level' in both of these, that is if the row e_k occurs as the s^{th} row of E_i then it should not occur as the s^{th} row of E_j.
- Some row of E_0 should not occur in any other E_j. Although this is not always necessary, it is desirable.
- If e_i occurs in s^{th} row of E_k and e_j occurs in t^{th} row of E_k then e_i should not occur in t^{th} row of some other E_w whenever e_j occurs in s^{th} row of E_w; in other words if e_i, e_j occur in some E_k then they don't occur at switched around level in some other E_w.
- It may also be necessary that some row of E_s, (the coefficient of the highest power of z) does not occur in any other E_j.

These are not always necessary. Cases as required are built up but a general theory on when such matrices are noncatastrophic is not developed. The right inverse and the control matrix are easily available when the generator matrix is noncatastrophic.

2.1.1 Examples: noncatastrophic or catastrophic

Here is an example to show a major difference when a slight change is made to the ordering of the rows.

Consider the following.

Let $UV = I_4$ in a field $\mathbb{F}$ where U has rows in order $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and V has columns in order $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic matrix with right polynomial inverse $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, f_0, f_1)z + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_0)z^2$. Here $\underline{0}$ denotes the 4×1 zero vector. Let $H[z] = f_3 - f_2 z + f_1 z^2 - f_0 z^3$. It is easily checked that $G[z]H[z] = 0_{3\times 1}$ and thus $H[z]$ is a control matrix for the convolutional $(4, 3, 3)$ code generated by $G[z]$. The free distance of this code depends on the distances of codes generated by taking rows of U; it can be 4. However by using the control matrix, an algorithm exists to decode when the error term is of the form αz^i with $\alpha \in F^4$ or more generally where the error can only be of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$, with $i_{j+1} \geq i_j + 4$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $\alpha_i \in F^4$.

Now let $K[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$ and then $K[z]$ is a catastrophic matrix as $L[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, f_1, f_2)z + (\underline{0}, f_1, f_2)z^2 - (\underline{0}, f_1, f_2)z^3 + \ldots\ldots$ is its right inverse and $K[z]$ does not have a polynomial right inverse.

Thus a small change in the order of the chosen rows changes the generator matrix from being non-catastrophic to being catastrophic. Notice in $K[z]$ that e_1 occurs at the same level in the coefficients of $1 = z^0$ and z; this violates the first 'principle' in 2.1 above.

2.2 Permuting rows

Permuting the rows in equation (1) or (2) gives generator matrices for *different* convolutional codes. Some of these are equivalent but not many; unless the same permutation is made in each of the E_i, non-equivalent codes are obtained. Many different convolutional codes even of the same rate are obtainable from the same unit scheme.

2.3 Explicit Constructions

1. Consider $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2 = GF(2)$ so that $UV = 1$ and denote the rows of U in order by $\{e_0, e_1\}$ and the columns of V in order by $\{f_0, f_1\}$.

   Define $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z$. Then $G[z]K[z] = 1$ for $K[z] = f_0$ and so $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a convolutional $(2, 1, 1)$ code. It is easy to construct the control matrix of the code. Let $H[z] = f_1 - f_0 z$ $(= f_1 + f_0 z$ in $Z_2)$. Then $G[z]H[z] = 0_{1\times 1}$ and hence $H[z]$ is the control matrix of the code. The free distance of the code is 3. From the form of the control matrix it is seen (see Lemma 2.4 below) that any error of the form αz^i for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ can be corrected algebraically or more generally any error of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$ with $i_j \geq ij + 1 + 2$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$; that is the polynomial of errors where the (vector) errors occur at least two degrees apart can be corrected.

2. The GSB of a $(2, 1, 1)$ is by [21] 4. By letting $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ in $Z_3 = GF(3)$ a $(2, 1, 1)$ and constructing $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z$ similar to example 1. above, a convolutional MDS code with free distance 4 is obtained.

3. Consider U, V as in example 1. and now let $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_0 z^2$. This convolutional code generated by $G[z]$ is exactly the same as that given on page 276 of Blahut's book [1] for it is seen $G[z] = (1 + z + z^2, 1 + z^2)$. It is easily seen that $G[z]$ is catastrophic directly from the form given here: Let $H[z] = f_0 - f_0 z^2 + f_0 z^4 - \ldots$ and then $G[z]H[z] = 1$; $G[z]$ has no polynomial right inverse. [3]

[3] From general considerations, the reason precisely why $G[z]$ has no polynomial right inverse is because e_0 occurs as the coefficient of both z^0 and z^2 - and at the same 'level' of the matrix.

4. Consider $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ over $Z_2 = GF(2)$. Now U is invertible. Denote the rows, in order, of U by e_0, e_1, e_2 and the columns, in order, of the inverse V of U by f_0, f_1, f_2. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ \underline{0} \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} \underline{0} \\ e_0 \end{pmatrix} z^2$. Now $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & z^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and thus $G[z]$ is the same as that constructed on page 279 of Blahut, [1].

   In the form given it is easy to find the right inverse for $G[z]$ and the control matrix for the convolutional $(3, 2, 4)$ code generated by $G[z]$. Let $K[z] = (f_0, f_1) - (f_1, \underline{0})z^2$ and then $G[z]K[z] = I_{2\times 2}$. Letting $H[z] = f_2 - f_0 z + f_1 z^2$ then $G[z]H[z] = 0_{2\times 1}$.

5. Let $UV = I_3$ where $U, V \in F_{3\times 3}$ and U has rows e_0, e_1, e_2 and V has columns f_0, f_1, f_3. Now define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ \underline{0} \end{pmatrix} z$.

   Then for $K[z] = (f_0, f_1)$ and $H[z] = f_2 - f_0 z$ it is seen that $G[z]K[z] = I_{2\times 2}$ and $G[z]H[z] = 0$. Now the degree of $G[z]$ is 1 and thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a $(2, 1, 1)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$ with control matrix $H[z]$. The GSB bound for such a code is, by [21], $1(0 + 1) + 1 + 1 = 3$. Assume now the linear code generated by e_1 is an mds $(2, 1, 2)$ code; it is sufficient that e_1 has nonzero entries. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is an MDS $(2, 1, 1)$ convolutional code as may be verified. By considering $\alpha[z]H[z]$ for $\alpha[z] \in F[z]^2$ it is seen that errors of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots \alpha_r z^{i_r}$ where each α_i has only one non-zero entry and where $i_{j-1} \leq i_j + 2$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ may be directly algebraically corrected.

6. Suppose $U, V \in F_{3\times 3}$ satisfies $UV = I_3$ where $U = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ are the row and column structures of U, V respectively. Consider $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_2 z^2$. By direct verification $G[z] * f_0 = I_{1\times 1}$ and thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic matrix of rank 1 of a $(3, 1, 2)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$. Also $G[z] * \{(f_1, f_2) - (f_0, \underline{0})z - (\underline{0}, f_0)z^2\} = 0_{1\times 2}$ where $\underline{0}$ is the 3×1 zero matrix gives the control matrix $H = (f_1, f_2) - (f_0, \underline{0})z - (\underline{0}, f_0)z^2$ of the code.

   What is the free distance of this code $\mathcal{C}$? The maximum free distance, GSB, of a $(3, 1, 2)$ code is, by [21], 9. Suppose now U is a matrix over F such that any r rows for $1 \leq r \leq 3$ generate an mds linear code, that is generate a $(3, r, 3 - r + 1)$ linear code. Such matrices exist but depend on the field F and will be discussed in section 3.1. In fact this code attains the free GSB distance 9 and is an MDS convolutional code; this may be verified directly by looking at $f[z] * G[z]$ where $f[z]$ is a polynomial. This is a special case of theorem 3.1 below.

7. Suppose $U, V \in F_{3\times 3}$ are as in example 6. Consider now $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z = E_0 + E_1 z$, say. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of rank 2 of a $(3, 2, 2)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$. By direct verification $G[z] * \{(f_0, f_1) - (\underline{0}, f_0)z\} = I_{2\times 2}$ and thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic matrix of rank 2; here $\underline{0}$ is the 3×1 zero matrix. The 3×1 (control) matrix $H[z]$ of rank 1 such that $G[z]H[z] = 0_{2\times 1}$ is $H[z] = f_2 - f_1 z + f_0 z^2$.

   What is the free distance of this code $\mathcal{C}$? The maximum free distance of a $(3, 2, 2)$ code is by [21] 5. Suppose now U is a matrix over F such that any r rows for $1 \leq r \leq 3$ generate an mds linear code, that is generate a $(3, r, 3 - r + 1)$ linear code. Such matrices exist but depend on the field F and will be discussed in section 3.1. It is noted then that $\alpha_0(G[z]])$ for a 1×2 vector α_0 can be 4 but that $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z)G[z]$ for 1×2 non-zero vectors α_0, α_1 has distance at least 5; indeed $f[z]G[z]$ for a polynomial $f[z]$ of support $\geq t$ has distance $\geq 4 + (t - 1)$.

   $G[z]$ can be altered to $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ -e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$ in a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ where U is the Fourier 3×3 matrix to get an MDS convolutional code $(3, 2, 2; 1, 5)$.

8. Let $U, V \in F_{3\times 3}$ be as in 6. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} z^2$. Then $G[z]\{(f_0, f_1) - (\underline{0}, f_0)\} = I_2$ and so $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of a $(3, 2, 4)$ convolutional code. The control matrix is $H[z] = (f_2, f_3) - (f_1, \underline{0})z - (\underline{0}, f_1)z^2 + (\underline{0}, f_0)z^3$.

9. Matrices in examples 7 and 6 may be given precisely as follows. Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where ω is a primitive 3rd root of unity in a field F in which the 3rd root of unity exists. Suppose also every subdeterminant in the inverse of U is non-zero.[4] Such fields F for which this is the case include $GF(2^2), GF(7)$. Then $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$ is a noncatastrophic generating matrix for a $(3, 2, 2)$ convolutional code which has free distance ≥ 4 and such that the distance of $f[z]G[z]$, where $f[z]$ is a polynomial 1×3 of support t, is at least $4+(t-1)$. When the field has characteristic $\neq 2$ then $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ -e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of a $(3, 2, 2)$ convolutional code of free distance 5 and such that the free distance of $f[z]G[z]$, where $f[z]$ is a polynomial 1×3 of support t, is at least $4 + (t)$.

   For example when $F = GF(7)$, and noting that 2 is a primitive 3rd root of unity in $GF(7)$, we obtain the GSB $(3, 2, 2)$ code with generator matrix $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} z$ over $GF(7)$.

10. Suppose $U, V \in F_{5\times 5}$ satisfies $UV = I_5$ where $U = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_4)$ are the row and column structures of U, V respectively. Consider $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} z^2$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of rank 3 of a $(5, 3, 6)$ convolutional code . We may verify directly that $G[z] * \{(f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, f_0, f_1)z\} = I_{3\times 3}$ and thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic matrix of rank 3; here $\underline{0}$ is the 5×1 zero matrix. The 5×2 matrix $H[z]$ of rank 2 such that $G[z]H[z] = 0_{3\times 2}$ may also be constructed to give the control matrix; this is $H[z] = (f_3, f_4) - (f_2, \underline{0})z + (f_0, \underline{0})z^3$; here $\underline{0}$ is the 5×1 zero matrix.

11. Suppose now U, V are as in the previous example 10. Consider $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of rank 3 of a $(5, 3, 3)$ convolutional code . We may verify directly that $G[z] * \{(f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_0)z\} = I_{3\times 3}$ and thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic matrix of rank 3; here $\underline{0}$ is the 5×1 zero matrix. Also $G[z]\{(f_3, f_4) - (f_1, f_2)z + (\underline{0}, f_0)z^2\} = 0_{3\times 2}$ then gives the control matrix.

    What is the free distance of the code $\mathcal{C}$ with generating matrix $G[z]$? The GSB for a $(5, 3, 3)$ convolutional code is by [21] 2(2)+3+1 = 8. However $\alpha_0 G[z]$ may have distance 6 for a 1×3 vector $\alpha_0 \in F^{1\times 3}$. In addition it may be shown that $f[z] * G[z]$ has distance $6 + (t - 1)$ where t is the support of $f[z]$.

12. Consider the matrices U, V as in Item 10 and now define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix of rank 4 of a $(5, 4, 4)$ convolutional code. Letting $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) - (\underline{0}, f_0, f_1, f_2)z + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_0, f_1)z^2 - (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_0)$ it is seen that $G[z]K[z] = I_4$.

    Also $H[z] = f_4 - f_3 z + f_2 z^2 - f_1 z^3 + f_0 z^4$ satisfies $G[z]H[z] = 0$ and is the control matrix.

Here are some high rate $(n-1)/n$ convolutional codes constructed from the general methods. These are shown to have nice algebraic decoding techniques. In all cases $\underline{0}$ denotes the zero vector whose size is determined by its position in a formula.

[4] It may be shown, see for example [12], that every subdeterminant of the inverse of U is non-zero if and only if every subdeterminant of U is non-zero.

1. Let $UV = 1$ in $F_{4\times 4}$ where U has rows e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 and V has columns f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} z$.

   The right inverse $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, f_2, f_0)z + (\underline{0}, f_0, \underline{0})z^2$ is calculated and shows that $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a $(4, 3, 3)$ convolutional code. The control matrix is $H[z] = f_3 - f_1 z + f_2 z^2 - f_0 z^3$ with $G[z]H[z] = 0$.

   The GBS for a $(4, 3, 3)$ convolutional code is, by [21], 6. Provided $\begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}$ generate $(4, 3, 2)$ linear codes this convolutional code has free distance 4. However it will correct errors of the form αz^i with $\alpha \in F^4$ and errors of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$, where $i_{j+1} \geq i_j + 4$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, and $\alpha_i \in F^4$.

2. Let U, V be as in construction 1. above. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_2 \\ \underline{0} \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2) - (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_1)z$ satisfies $G[z]K[z] = I_3$ and shows that $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a $(4, 3, 2)$ convolutional code. The GSB for such a code is, by [21], 4. The control matrix is $H[z] = f_3 - f_0 z$. The code can correct one error provided (e_1, e_2) generates a $(4, 2, 3)$ linear code. It can also correct errors in each coefficient of an error-polynomial in which the degrees are at least two apart.

3. Let Let $UV = 1$ in $F_{5\times 5}$ where U has rows e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and V has columns f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$. The right inverse for $G[z]$ is $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) - (\underline{0}, f_2, f_3, f_0)z + (\underline{0}, f_3, f_0, \underline{0})z^2 - (\underline{0}, f_0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})z^3$ and hence $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a $(5, 4, 4)$ convolutional code. The GSB for such a code is 7. The control matrix for the code is $H[z] = f_4 - f_1 z + f_2 z^2 - f_f z^3 + f_0 z^4$. The GBS is not attained. However this code can correct errors of the form αz^i with $\alpha \in F^5$ and errors of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$, where $i_{j+1} \geq i_j + 4$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, and $\alpha_i \in F^5$. In other words, provided the errors occur in vectors at least degree 5 apart then the control matrix will correct these algebraically.

4. A code similar to example 3 with MDS can be made as follows. Let U, V be as in example 3. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \\ e_3 \\ e_1 \\ \underline{0} \end{pmatrix} z$. The right inverse for $G[z]$ is $K[z] = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) - (\underline{0}, f_2, \underline{0}, f_0)z + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, \underline{0}, f_2)z^2$ and hence $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator for a $(5, 4, 3)$ convolutional code. The GSB for such a code is, by[21], 5. The control matrix is $H[z] = f_4 - f_0 z$. Then provided (e_1, e_2, e_3) generates a $(5, 3, 3)$ linear code, $G[z]$ can be shown to be an MDS $(5, 4, 3; 1, 5)$ convolutional code.

5. The process in the previous examples can be continued so as to construct high rate convolutional codes with good error correcting properties. The codes will correct a single vector in error or a series of such errors provided they are sufficiently apart.

2.4 Algebraic decoding techniques

Here we give algebraic decoding methods for some of the examples in Section 2.3. The decoding techniques themselves can be applied to similar and higher length constructions.

The following Lemma is straight forward but is used for the algebraic decoding techniques.

Lemma 2.2 *Let the columns of an $n \times n$ invertible matrix A be denoted by $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$. Suppose α is a $1 \times n$ vector and that the scalars αf_i are known for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then the vector α may be determined from the inverse of A.*

Proof: It is seen that $\alpha(f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})$ is known and thus αA is known. But A is invertible and so α is obtainable from the inverse of A. □

Notice that the inverse of A is already known when the code is constructed and thus the α is obtained from multiplying a 1×3 vector by a known 3×3 matrix.

Consider now the code in Section 2.3, item 7 which has check matrix $H = f_2 - f_1 z + f_0 z^2$. Assume now an error in transmission has the form α or more generally of the form αz^i for an unknown $\alpha \in F^3$. Then αH is known from which it is deduced that that αf_i is known for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Hence by Lemma 2.2 α is known from the inverse of (f_0, f_1, f_2) which is $U = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}$. It may also be shown that an error of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$, where $i_{j+1} \geq i_j + 3$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, can be corrected.

Consider now the code in Section 2.3, Item 6, where the control matrix is $H[z] = (f_1, f_2) - (f_0, \underline{0})z - (\underline{0}, f_0)z^2$. We show that this can correct, by an algebraic method, errors of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha z^i + \beta z^j$.

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose $\alpha[z]H[z]$ is known with $\alpha[z] = \alpha z^i + \beta z^j$. Then $\alpha[z]$ can be determined.*

Proof: We do this for $\alpha[z] = \alpha + \beta z$. The more general case is similar. Looking at the coefficients of z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3 in $\alpha[z]H[z]$, we then know (i) $\alpha_0 f_1, \alpha_0 f_2$ (ii)$\alpha f_0 + \beta f_1, \beta f_2$, (iii) $\beta f_0, \alpha f_0$, (iv) βf_0. From this it is seen that $\alpha f_0, \alpha f_1, \alpha f_2$ and $\beta f_0, \beta f_1, \beta f_2$ are known from which by Lemma 2.2 α and β are determined. Now βf_0 is determined in a different way and this is an extra check.

□

Consider now Item 11 in which the control matrix is $H[z] = (f_3, f_4) - (f_1, f_2)z + (\underline{0}, f_0)z^2$. If error is of form αz^i then $\alpha z^i H[z]$ determines $\alpha f_3, \alpha_4, \alpha f_1, \alpha f_2, \alpha f_0$ and so by Lemma αz^i is determined. Consider $(\alpha + \beta z^3)H[z]$ and it is seen that errors of the form $\alpha + \beta z^i$ for $i \geq 3$ are determined or more generally errors of the form $\alpha z^i + \beta z^j$ with $j \geq i+3$ are determined. Errors of the form $\alpha + \beta z^2$ are also determined provided either α or β have at most two non-zero entries and any row of U generates a $(5, 1, 5)$ (which is then two-error correcting) linear code.

Consider the convolutional code of Item 12 in Section in which the control matrix is $H[z] = f_4 - f_3 z + f_2 z^2 - f_1 z^3 + f_0 z^4$. By multiply a vector polynomial by $H[z]$ it is seen that this can correct any error of the form $\alpha z^i, \alpha in \mathbb{F}^5$ or more generally any error of the form $\alpha[z] = \alpha_0 z^{i_0} + \alpha_1 z^{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_r z^{i_r}$, where $i_{j+1} \geq i_j + 5$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$.

2.5 Design to specific parameters

Suppose it is desired for given n, r, δ, μ with $\delta = r\mu, r < n$ to design a $(n, r, \delta; \mu)$ convolutional code. Find a suitable $n \times n$ system U, V with $UV = 1$. Choose $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_\mu$ where each E_i consists of r rows of U. These E_i can be different choices of r rows of U if $\mu \leq \binom{n-1}{r}$. If $\mu > \binom{n-1}{r}$ then choose the E_i for $1 \leq i \leq \binom{n-1}{r}$ to be different choices then permutations of the rows of an E_i $(i \geq 1)$ already chosen m are used and if (in the unlikely case that these are not sufficient E_i) repeats of the choices of the E_i constructed may then be used. Eventually $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_\mu$ will be selected. Form $G[z] = E_0 + E_i z + \ldots E_\mu z^\mu$. and $G[z]$ is a generator matrix for a convolutional $(n, r, \delta; \mu)$ code where $\delta = r\mu$. To ensure this is noncatastrophic generator matrix, the criteria in Section 2.1.

An algebraic proof of the bounds on the free distances may sometimes be given. Proofs for the free distances depend on Theorem 3.2 and in particular on its Corollary 3.1.

Matrices, necessarily invertible, with additional properties such as being unitary or orthogonal may also be chosen to design suitable convolutional codes with specific properties (such as for example being *LDPC*); see Section 4 below for developments.

Most of the convolutional codes in use and available have been constructed on a case by case basis and/or by computer; computer techniques are now often beyond the range of computers.

See also Section 3.2 for specific design methods using matrices satisfying the *Chebotarëv property.* These have good distance properties.

3 Chebotarëv Property

Consider cases where $UV = 1$ and V has the *Chebotarëv property.* This property is defined in section 3.1 below. Certain Fourier matrices have this property. With this property the matrix formed from any

r rows of U is a generator matrix for an mds $(n, r, n-r+1)$ (linear) code, [12].

Using U, V where V has the Chebotarëv property with which to construct convolutional codes by the method of Section 2 will give good free distances. The free distances and/or lower bounds on the free distances may often be proven algebraically and the codes are relatively easy to implement and simulate.

3.1 Fourier matrices

Suppose a primitive n^{th} root of unity, α, exists in a field K. The Fourier $n \times n$ matrix F_n over K is

$$F_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \dots & \alpha^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{n-1} & \alpha^{2(n-1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Defining

$$F_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^{-1} & \alpha^{-2} & \dots & \alpha^{-(n-1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{-2} & \alpha^{-4} & \dots & \alpha^{-2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{-(n-1)} & \alpha^{-2(n-1)} & \dots & \alpha^{-(n-1)(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

then $F_n F_n^* = nI$ which gives a formula for the inverse of F_n. As n must then divide $(|K| - 1)$, the inverse of α exists in K.

It is clear that α^{-1} is also a primitive n^{th} root of 1 and the matrix F_n^* is a Fourier matrix over K. Now F_n may be normalised by dividing by $\sqrt{n}$, when it exists, to give a unitary matrix but this is not necessary for our purposes.

Suppose the Fourier matrix F over some field (for which it exists) has rows $\{e_0, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. Then in general denote the inverse of F by F^* and the columns of F^* by $\{e_0^*, e_1^*, \dots, e_{n-1}^*\}$.

Chebotarëv's Theorem is proved for Fourier matrices over the complex numbers. It has been proved and reproved a number of times, see for example [32], [29], [33] or [5]. It has been termed *an uncertainty principle* in [33].

Theorem 3.1 *(Chebotarëv)*
Suppose that $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is a primitive p^{th} root of unity where p is a prime. Let V be the Fourier matrix with (i, j)-entry equal to ω^{ij} , for $0 \le i, j \le p-1$. Then all square submatrices of V have nonzero determinant.

Say a matrix M over the ring K has the *Chebotarëv property* if the determinant of any square submatrix is non-zero. It is clear that if M has the Chebotarëv property then so does αM for any non-zero scalar α. In [10] it is shown, using Chebotarëv's theorem, that the Fourier matrix F_n over a field K of characteristic 0 has the Chebotarëv property for a prime n.

Using results of [10], Fourier matrices with the Chebotarëv property over fields of characteristic $\neq 0$ are developed in [12]. These are used in [12] to construct series of mds (maximal distance separable) linear codes over these fields.

The following is a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose U is an $n \times n$ matrix with rows $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ with $UV = I$ and that V satisfies the Chebotarëv property. Then the (linear) code generated by any r of the rows of U is an mds $(n, r, n-r+1)$ linear code.*

Corollary 3.1 *A non-zero linear combination of r rows of U has distance (= number of non-zero entries) greater than or equal to $n-r+1$.*

Any collection of r rows of U may be used to generate an mds $(n, r, n-r+1)$ code when $UV = 1$ and V satisfies the Chebotarëv property. Hence there are $\binom{n}{r}$ mds $(n, r, n-r+1)$ different codes derived from the single unit.

3.2 Constructions using unit schemes with Chebotarëv property

In [12] Fourier matrices over finite fields which satisfy the Chebotarëv property are discussed and constructed.

3.2.1 Length 3

Suppose the Fourier 3×3 matrix F_3 exists over K and satisfies the Chebotarëv property. As pointed out in [12] cases of such K are $GF(2^2)$, $GF(5^2)$, $GF(7) = \mathbb{Z}_7$. Denote the rows of F_3 by $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$. Define $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_2 z^2$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(3, 1, 3; 3, 9)$ convolutional code which is mds. For example when $K = \mathbb{Z}_7$ then 2 is a primitive 3^{rd} root of 1. and get $e_0 = (1, 1, 1), e_1 = (1, 2, 4), e_2 = (1, 4, 2)$ with entries which are integers modulo 7. The roles of $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ can be interchanged to give 3! such convolutional $(3, 1, 2; 2, 9)$ codes.

3.2.2 Low rate

Take $s = n - 1$ in equation (1) of Section 2 and use all the rows of a matrix satisfying the Chebotarëv property. Thus define

$$G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + \ldots + e_{n-1} z^{n-1}$$

where F_n has rows e_i, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and F_n satisfies the Chebotarëv property. This is a $(n, 1, n-1; n-1)$ convolutional code with degree and memory $(n-1)$. The rate is not very good particularly for large n but indeed the maximum free distance is attained. The maximal free distance attainable by such a code is by [21] $(n-r)(\lfloor \delta/r \rfloor + 1) + \delta + 1 = (n-1)(n-1+1) + n - 1 + 1 = n^2$.

Proposition 3.1 *The free distance of the code generated by the $G[z]$ above is n^2.*

The proof is omitted. Note that each e_i generates a $(n, 1, n)$ code and that any non-zero combination of r of the e_i has distance $\geq (n - r + 1)$. The general proof then depends on Corollary 3.1 and is done by showing directly that $f[z]G[z]$ has distance $\geq n^2$ for a polynomial $f[z]$.

By Proposition 2.1 $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic. It is fairly easy to show this directly and we give an independent proof and produce the control/check matrix.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + \ldots + e_{n-1} z^{n-1}$ as above.*

(i) Define $H(z) = e_0^*$. Then $G[z]H(z) = 1$.

(ii) Define $K[z] = (e_1^*, e_2^*, \ldots, e_{n-1}^*) - (e_0^*, \underline{0}, \ldots, \underline{0}) - (\underline{0}, e_0^*, \underline{0}, \ldots, \underline{0}) z - \ldots - (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, \ldots, \underline{0}, e_0^*) z^{n-1}$ where $\underline{0}$ is the $n \times 1$ zero matrix. Then $G[z]K[z] = 0_{1 \times (n-1)}$ and $K[z]$ has rank $n - 1$.

Proof: These follow by direct multiplication on noting $e_i e_j^* = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker delta).

□

Corollary 3.2 *$G[z]$ is noncatastrophic.*

By permuting the e_i we may obtain $n!$ such different codes.

3.2.3 Length 5

Let F_5 be a Fourier matrix over a field K such that F_5 satisfies the Chebotarëv property. Such fields and F_5 are given explicitly in [12] as for example $K = GF(2^4)$ and $K = GF(11) = \mathbb{Z}_{11}$.

In such a field let ω be primitive 5^{th} root of unity.

Consider $GF(2^4)$. Here note that $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and if α is a primitive element then choose $\omega = \alpha^3$.

In $GF(11)$ it is seen that the order of 2 is 10 with $2^{10} = 1$ and let $\omega = 2^2 = 4$ to get a primitive 5^{th} root of unity.

In all cases $F_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \omega^3 & \omega^4 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega & \omega^3 \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega & \omega^4 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega^4 & \omega^3 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$ and its inverse is such that the determinant of every submatrix is non-zero.

When for example $K = GF(11)$ then $F_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 4^2 & 4^3 & 4^4 \\ 1 & 4^2 & 4^4 & 4 & 4^3 \\ 1 & 4^3 & 4 & 4^4 & 4^2 \\ 1 & 4^4 & 4^3 & 4^2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ is a Fourier matrix over $GF(11)$ which has the Chebotarëv property. The entries are elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$. Let the rows of F_5 be denoted by $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$.

By Proposition 3.1 the code generated by $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_2 z^2 + e_3 z^3 + e_4 z^4$ is an MDS $(5, 1, 4; 4, 25)$ convolutional code and $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic.

Consider $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z$. This is a $(5, 2)$ with $\delta = 2, \mu = 1$ which can have at best free distance $(n - r)(\delta/r + 1) + \delta + 1 = 3(2) + 2 + 1 = 9$ by [21].

Proposition 3.2 *(i) The matrix $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic.*
(ii) The code generated by $G[z]$ has $d_{free} = 8$.
(iii) For any inputted word $f(z)$ of support ≥ 2 the codeword $f(z)G[z]$ has distance ≥ 10.

Proof: (i) $(E_0 + E_1 z)(e_0^*, e_1^*) = I_2$

(ii) For 1×2 vectors α_i, $f[z] = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z + \ldots + \alpha_r z^r)(E_0 + E_1 z) = \alpha_0 E_0 + ... + \alpha_r E_1 z^{r+1}$. Now E_0, E_1 both generate $(5, 2, 4)$ codes and thus free distance of $f[z]$ is $\geq 4 + 4 = 8$. It is easy to show that the actual distance 8 is attained by considering $\alpha_0 G[z]$.

(iii) The proof of this is similar and the general proof is omitted. It is noted that a linear code with generator matrix consisting of rows $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a $(5, 4, 2)$ code and thus a non-zero linear combination of these has distance ≥ 2. □

3.2.4 Length 7

Consider a Fourier 7×7 matrix F_7 over a field K which satisfies the Chebotarëv property. Examples of such fields are given in [12]. Denote the rows of F_7 by $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_6\}$.

Setting $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_2 z^2 + \ldots + e_6 z^6$ gives a $(7, 1, 6; 6, 49)$ by Theorem 3.1. Setting $E_i = \begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ e_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and then letting $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2$ gives a $(7, 2, 4; 2, 18)$ code. The maximum free distance of such a $(7, 2, 4; 2)$ code is $(5)(4/2 + 1) + 4 + 1 = 20$. By permuting the e_i we may obtain 7! such codes. How many of these are equivalent?

Suppose we wish to obtain a memory 3 code from this structure. Let $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \\ e_5 \end{pmatrix}, E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} e_5 \\ e_6 \end{pmatrix}$. Note here that E_2, E_3 have e_5 as common. Set $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2 + E_3 z^3$. Then $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic follows as it is easily seen that $G[z]E_1^* = I_{2\times 2}$. It may be shown that this is a $(7, 2, 6; 3, 24)$ code. The maximum free distance of such a $(7, 2, 6; 3)$ code is by [21] $(5)(6/2+1)+6+1 = 27$.

Setting $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \end{pmatrix}$ and $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z$ gives a noncatastrophic matrix generator of a $(7, 3, 3; 1, 10)$ code. The maximum free distance of such a code is $4(3/3 + 1) + 3 + 1 = 12$. Also note that $f(z)G[z]$ has distance ≥ 12 for any $f(z)$ of support ≥ 2. Permuting the e_i gives 7! such codes.

Setting $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e_4 \\ e_5 \\ e_6 \end{pmatrix}$ and then $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2$, gives a $(7, 3, 6; 2)$ code. $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic as may be verified directly. The free distance is 15. The maximum free distance of such a code is $(4)(6/3 + 1) + 6 + 1 = 17$.

3.2.5 $GF(23) = \mathbb{Z}_{23}$

The next case taken is that of a Fourier matrix over a finite field in which the entries are elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{23}$.

Now 11 is a Germain prime with safe prime $23 = 11 \times 2 + 1$ and so by [12] the Fourier matrix F_{11} over $\mathbb{Z}_{23}$ exists and satisfies Chebotarëv property.

Denote the rows of the Fourier matrix by $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{10}\}$.
Then

1. $G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + \ldots + e_{10} z^{10}$ is a noncatastrophic matrix for a $(11, 1)$ code which has the mds free distance $11^2 = 121$. There are 11! similar such codes obtained by permuting the order of $0, 1, \ldots, 10$.

2. Let $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_5 \\ e_6 \\ e_7 \\ e_8 \\ e_9 \end{pmatrix}$.
   Define $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(11, 5, 5)$ convolutional code which has free distance 14. The maximum distance for such a $(11, 5, 5)$ convolutional code is $(n-r)(\delta/r+1)+\delta+1 = (6)(2)+5+1 = 18$. An inputted word of memory ≥ 1 has distance at least 16. An inputted word of memory ≥ 2 has distance at least 18. Now 11! such codes of this type.

3. Define $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_3 \\ e_4 \\ e_5 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e_6 \\ e_7 \\ e_8 \end{pmatrix}$. Define $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(11, 3, 6)$ convolutional code. Its free distance is 27. The maximum free distance for such an $(11, 3, 6)$ code is $(n-r)(\delta/r+1)+\delta+1 = 8(6/3+1)+6+1 = 31$. Now 11! such codes may be constructed by permuting the order of $0, 1, 2, \ldots, 10$.

4. Define $E_i = \begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ e_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. Define $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2 + E_3 z^3 + E_4 z^4$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(11, 2, 8)$ code and it has free distance 50. The maximum distance for such a $(11, 2, 8)$ code is $(9)(8/2) + 8 + 1 = 54$. If the inputted word has memory ≥ 1 then the distance is 52.

In $GF(23)$ a primitive element is 5 and so $5^2 = 2$ is an element of order 11 from which the Fourier matrix F_{11} over $GF(23)$ can be constructed. This gives

$$F_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2^2 & \ldots & 2^{10} \\ 1 & 2^2 & 2^4 & \ldots & 2^{20} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2^{10} & 2^{20} & \ldots & 2^{100} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & \ldots & 12 \\ 1 & 4 & 14 & \ldots & 6 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 12 & 6 & \ldots & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z$. This is a $(5, 2)$ with $\delta = 2, \mu = 1$ which can have at best free distance $(n-k)(\delta/k+1)+\delta+1 = 3(2)+2+1 = 9$.

Proposition 3.3 *(i) The code $G[z]$ is noncatastrophic.*
(ii) The code $G[z]$ has $d_{free} = 8$.
(iii) For any inputted word $f(z)$ of support ≥ 2 the corresponding codeword has distance ≥ 9.

Let $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2$. The free distance of the code generated by $G[z]$ is 27. Note that a non-zero linear combination of any three of $\{e_0, \ldots, e_{10}\}$ has distance at least 9, a non-zero linear combination of any 6 of these has distance at least 6 and a non-zero linear combination of 9 of these has distance at least 3 by Corollary 3.1.

3.3 Series of examples

The following are examples of the types of series of convolutional codes that can be constructed using matrices satisfying the Chebotarëv property:

1. Length 3: Series of MDS $(3, 1, 2; 2, 9)$ convolutional codes. Series of $(3, 2, 2; 1, 4)$ codes in which codewords for which the information vector has support ≥ 2 have distance ≥ 5; the GSB here is 5. The fields here be $GF(2^2), GF(2^4)$ or $GF(7) = \mathbb{Z}_7$ see [12].

2. Length 5:

   (a) Series of $(5, 2, 2; 1, 8)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 9. The distance of a codeword which has information vector of support ≥ 2 is ≥ 10.

   (b) Series of $(5, 2, 4; 2, \geq 12)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 14.

   (c) Series of $(5, 3, 3; 1, \geq 6)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 8; a codeword from an information vector of support ≥ 2 has distance ≥ 8.

   (d) Series of $(5, 1, 4; 4, 25)$ codes which attain the GSB.

   The fields here can be $GF(11) = \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ or $GF(7^4)$, see [12].

3. Length 7:

   (a) Series of $(7, 3, 3; 1, \geq 10)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 12. An inputted word of support $\geq t$ has corresponding codeword of distance $\geq 10 + 2(t-1)$.

   (b) Series of $(7, 2, 4; 2, 18)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 20.

   (c) Series of $(7, 2, 6; 3, 24)$ codes. The GSB for such codes is 27.

   (d) Series of $(7, 1, 6; 6, 49)$ codes. The GBS is attained but the rate is not good.

   The fields here can be $GF(3^6)$ or $GF(5^6)$, see [12].

4. Length 11:

   (a) Series of $(11, 5, 5; 1, \geq 14)$ codes; the GSB for such codes is 18. Information vectors of support $\geq t$ have distance $\geq 14 + 2(t-1)$.

   (b) Series of $(11, 3, 6; 2, \geq 29)$ codes. Series of $(11, 4, 4; 1, 16)$ codes; the GSB here is 19.

   (c) Series of $(11, 4, 8; 2, 24)$ codes; the GSB for such codes is 30.

   (d) Series of $(11, 5, 10; 2, \geq 20)$ codes; the GSB for such is 29.

⋮

5. General n with memory 1: Suppose the Fourier matrix F_n exists over K and satisfies the Chebotarëv property.

   Let $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Then series of $(n, k, k; 1, \geq n+3)$ codes are constructed. An inputted word of support $\geq t$ has codeword of distance $n+3+2(t-1)$. The GSB for such $(n, k, k; 1)$ codes is $3\lceil n/2 \rceil$. The rate is approximately a half.

6. Memory 1 codes of rate approximately 1/2 with good free distances may be formed from the rows $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$ of a matrix whose inverse satisfies the Chebotarëv condition. Set $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Let E_0 be an $r \times n$ matrix formed using r rows of $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots e_{n-1}\}$ and let E_1 be an $r \times n$ matrix formed using r other different rows of $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$. Form $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z$. Then $G[z]$ is the generator matrix of a noncatastrophic convolutional code of type (n, r). Its rank and its degree δ and memory μ are r. The GSB of such a code is $(n-r)(\delta/r + 1) + \delta + 1 = (n-r)2 + r + 1 = 2n - 2r + r + 1 = 2n - r + 1 = 2(n - r + 1) + r - 1$. It is easily shown that the code formed has free distance at least $2(n - r + 1)$.

7. Large examples in modular arithmetic: Use for example Germain primes; these are primes p such that $2p + 1$ is also a prime. For example let $K = GF(227) = \mathbb{Z}_{227}$ and $n = 113$. Here 113 is a Germain prime and $2 * 113 + 1 = 227$ is the corresponding safe prime. Then explicit series of $(113, 56, 56; 1, \geq 116)$ codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{227} = GF(227)$ and others may be designed.

4 Block constructions

The methods of Section 2 which use *rows* of unit schemes to construct convolutional codes are now generalised by using *blocks* of unit schemes. This leads in particular to the algebraic construction of *LDPC convolutional codes* and *self-dual* and *dual-containing* convolutional codes.

Some of the cases here overlap some of those in [20].

We begin with an illustrative example. Let U, V be $2n \times 2n$ matrices with $UV = 1$ and U, V have block representations as follows: $U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}, V = (C, D)$ where A, B are block $n \times 2n$ matrices and C, D are block $2n \times n$ matrices. Then $UV = 1$ implies $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} (C, D) = \begin{pmatrix} AC & AD \\ BC & BD \end{pmatrix} = I_{2n \times 2n}$ and hence $AC = I_{n \times n}, AD = 0_{n \times n}, BC = I_{n \times n}, BD = 0_{n \times 2n}$.

Define $G[z] = A + Bz$; this is a $n \times 2n$ matrix. Then

$G[z]C = I_{n \times n}, G[z](D - Cz) = 0_{n \times n}$

Hence $G[z]$ is of rank n with left inverse C and so is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(2n, n, n; 1)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$. Also the check/control matrix is $(D - Cz)$ which is also of rank n as may be shown by producing a right inverse for it. Now if V is a low density matrix we have constructed a low density convolutional code; see section 5 below for relevant definitions of *low density*. Let $d(W)$ denote the distance of a linear code generated by W.

Lemma 4.1 *$d_{free}\mathcal{C} \geq d(A) + d(B)$.*

4.1 General block method

The general block method is as follows. It is similar in principle to the row method of Section 2 but has certain constructions in mind.

Suppose that $UV = 1$ in $F_{sn \times sn}$ and that U, V have block structures $U = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_s \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = (B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_s)$ where the A_i are $n \times sn$ matrices and the B_j are $sn \times n$ matrices. Then $A_i B_j = \delta_{ij} I_n$. Choose any r of these blocks of U to form an $rn \times sn$ matrix E which then has rank rn; any order on the blocks may be chosen with which to construct the E. There are $\binom{s}{r}$ ways of choosing r blocks. Suppose $\{E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_s\}$ are s such $rn \times sn$ matrices. Define

$$G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + \ldots + E_s z^s. \tag{3}$$

Consider $G[z]$ to be the generating matrix for a convolutional code.

Say that $A \in E$ if A occurs as a block in forming E and $A \notin E$ if A does not occur as a block in E.

The following may be proved in a similar manner to Proposition 2.1 in Section 2.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose $G[z] = E_0 + E_1 z + \ldots + E_s z^r$ and that $A_j \in E_0$ implies $A_j \notin E_i$ for $i \neq 0$. Then $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a convolutional (n, r) code.*

There are many other cases where the $G[z]$ are also noncatastrophic.

We illustrate the method for a unit with 3 blocks. Suppose $UV = 1$ are $3n \times 3n$ matrices with $U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix}, V = (D, E, F)$ where A, B, C are $n \times 3n$ matrices and D, E, F are $3n \times n$ matrices. This then gives:

$AD = I_{n \times n}, AE = 0_{n \times n}, AF = 0_{n \times n}$

$BD = 0_{n \times n}, BE = I_{n \times n}, BF = 0_{n \times n}$

$CD = 0_{n \times n}, CE = 0_{n \times n}, CF = I_{n \times n}$

Define $G[z] = A + Bz + Cz^2$. Then $G[z]D = I_{n \times n}, G[z](E - Dz) = 0$. Thus $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix a $(3n, n, 2n; 2)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{D}$ with check matrix $(E - Dz)$. Let $d(Y, X)$ denotes the distance of the linear code with generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ X \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.2 $d_{free}\mathcal{D} \geq \min\{d(A)+d(A,B)+d(B,C)+d(C), d(A)+d(B)+d(C)\}$.

We can generate $(3n, 2n)$ codes from the unit system as follows. Define
$G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix} z$.

Proposition 4.3 *(i)* $G[z]\left((D,E) - (0_{3n\times 0}, D)z)\right) = I_{2n}$.

(ii) $G[z]\{F - Ez + Dz^2\} = 0_{2n\times n}$.

Corollary 4.1 *$G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a $(3n, 2n, 2n; 1)$ convolutional code $\mathcal{K}$ with check matrix $H[z] = F - Ez + Dz^2$.*

See below for details on LDPC codes but it's worth mentioning the following at this stage.

Corollary 4.2 *$\mathcal{K}$ is an LDPC convolutional code when V is low density. If further V has no short cycles then neither does the $\mathcal{K}$.*

Proposition 4.4 $d_{free}\mathcal{K} \geq d(A,B) + d(B,C)$.

Use $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} C \\ B \end{pmatrix} z^2$ to construct a $(3n, 2n, 4n; 2)$ convolutional code.

5 LDPC convolutional codes

Suppose now $UV = 1$ where V is of *low density*. Say V has low density if and only if V has a small number of elements, compared to its size, in each row and column. [5] Then convolutional codes constructed by the row method of Section 2 or the block method of Section 4 must necessarily be LDPC (low density parity check) convolutional codes. By ensuring that V has no short cycles, which can be done by methods of [28], the LDPC convolutional codes constructed will have no short cycles in their control/check matrices. It is known that LDPC codes with no short cycles in their control/check matrices perform well.

The paper [28] gives methods for constructing classes of matrices U, V of arbitrary size over various fields with $UV = 1$ where V is of low density. Such matrices may for example be obtained, [28], from group ring elements u, v with $uv = 1$ in which the support of v as a group ring element is small; corresponding matrices may be obtained by mapping the group ring into a ring of matrices as per [13]. Matrices of arbitrary size and over many fields including $GF(2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ satisfying the conditions may be obtained in this manner. It may also be ensured in the construction that V has no short cycles thus ensuring the codes obtained have no short cycles in their control matrices.

Since low density implies the length must comparatively be long, to actually write out examples explicitly is more difficult in a research paper but as shown in [28] many such constructions may be formulated.

The following is an example which uses a structure from [28] utilised to construct industry standards LDPC linear codes. Here we show how to construct LDPC convolutional codes from the structure.

Consider $\mathbb{Z}_2(C_{204} \times C_4)$ where C_{204} is generated by g and C_4 is generated by h. Set
$v = g^{204-75} + h(g^{204-13} + g^{204-111} + g^{204-168}) + h^2(g^{204-29} + g^{204-34} + g^{204-170}) + h^3(g^{204-27} + g^{204-180})$.

The support of v is 9 and is a low density group ring element. As shown in [28] v has no short cycles. Its inverse u may be easily found but has large support and so is not written out. The matrices corresponding to u, v are denoted U, V (see [13]), which in this case are circulant-by-circulant, and have the forms $U = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix}, V = (B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)$ where A_i are 204×816 matrices and B_j are (low density) 816×204 matrices with $A_i B_j = \delta_{ij} I_{204\times 204}$. These blocks may now be used to construct LDPC convolutional codes of various types.

[5] For our purposes it is only necessary to ensure that V has a small number of elements, compared to its size, in certain columns as the control matrix of the convolutional codes are constructed from the columns of V.

For example $G[z] = A_0 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + A_3 z^3$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for an LDPC convolutional $(816, 204, 612; 3)$ with no short cycles, and control (low density) matrix $H[z] = (B_1, B_2, B_3) + (B_0, \underline{0}, \underline{0})z + (\underline{0}, B_0, \underline{0})z^2 + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, B_0)z^3$ where $\underline{0}$ is the zero 816×204 matrix. The right inverse of $G[z]$ is easily deduced.

Defining $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} z$ gives an LDPC $(816, 612, 612; 1)$ code with control low density matrix $H[z] = B_3 + B_2 z + B_1 z^2 + B_0 z^3$. The rate here is $3/4$.

Defining $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} z + \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} z^2$ gives an LDPC $(816, 408, 816; 2)$ convolutional code with control low density matrix $H[z] = (B_2, B_3) + (B_1, \underline{0})z + (\underline{0}, B_1)z^2 + (\underline{0}, B_0)z^3$. The rate is $1/2$.

Permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in U, V may be used for further constructions.

The group ring constructions of [28] allow the construction of many series of these LDPC convolutional codes.

6 Self-dual and dual-containing convolutional codes

It is known that self-dual and dual-containing codes leads to the construction of quantum codes, see [2] and also [27].

6.1 Self-dual convolutional codes

A convolutional code with generator matrix $G[z]$ is said to a self-dual code if its dual 'transposed' is equal to itself; we interpret the transpose of a matrix in the normal way but in addition interpret the 'transpose' of z to be z^{-1}.[6] We say then a convolutional code with generator matrix $G[z]$ is *self-dual* if $G[z]G[z^{-1}]^{\mathrm{T}} = 0$. Note that if $H[z]$ is a control matrix then so is $H[z]z^i$ for any i. A self-dual code must necessarily be a $(2n, n)$ code for some n.

Consider $UV = 1$ with $UV = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} (C, D) = \begin{pmatrix} AC & AD \\ BC & BD \end{pmatrix} = I_{2n \times 2n}$ where A, B, C, D are blocks of size n. Then $AC = I_n, AD = 0_{n \times n}, BC = 0_{n \times n}, BD = I_n$. Hence $G[z] = A + Bz$ has control matrix $D - Cz$ as $G[z](D - Cz) = 0_{n \times n}$. Suppose now U is an orthogonal matrix so that $C = A^{\mathrm{T}}, D = B^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then $D - Cz = B^{\mathrm{T}} - A^{\mathrm{T}} z$. Suppose also the field has characteristic 2 and in this case the control matrix is $B^{\mathrm{T}} + A^{\mathrm{T}} z$. Now $G[z^{-}1]^{\mathrm{T}} z = B^{\mathrm{T}} + A^{\mathrm{T}} z$ and hence the code is self-dual.

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose* $U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ *is an orthogonal matrix in characteristic* 2. *Then the convolutional code with generator matrix* $G[z] = A + Bz$ *is a self-dual code.*

Methods are developed in [15] in which to construct orthogonal matrices of arbitrary sizes over various fields including fields of characteristic 2. The methods involves constructing such elements in a group ring and then finding the corresponding matrices as per the embedding [13] of the group ring into a ring of matrices.

Here is an example. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_2 C_4$ where C_4 is the cyclic group generated by a. Let $u = a + a^2 + a^3$. Then $u^2 = 1$, and $u^{\mathrm{T}} = u$. Thus taking the corresponding matrix, [13],

$$U = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}.$$

we have $UU^{\mathrm{T}} = 1 = I_{4 \times 4}$.

Then $G[z] = A + Bz$ is the generator matrix of a self-dual convolutional $(4, 2, 2; 1)$ code. It is easy to check that the free distance of the code is 4; both A, B are generator matrices for $(4, 2, 2)$ linear codes.

The next example follows methods developed in [15]. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_2 D_8$ where $D_8 = \langle a, b | a^4 = 1 = b^2, ba = a^{-1}b \rangle$ is the dihedral group of order 8. Let $u = 1 + b + ba$. Then $u^2 = 1, u^{\mathrm{T}} = u$. The matrix of u is

[6] This interpretation makes great sense when considering group rings.

$$U = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1&0&0&0&1&1&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1\\ 0&0&1&0&0&0&1&1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0\\ \hline 1&1&0&0&1&0&0&0\\ 1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&1&0&0&1&0\\ 0&1&1&0&0&0&0&1 \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B \end{pmatrix}$$

and $UU^{\mathrm{T}} = 1$.

Then $G[z] = A + Bz$ is the generator matrix for a self-dual convolutional $(8, 4, 4; 1)$. Its free distance is 6; each of A, B generates a $(8, 4, 3)$ linear code.

Further examples may be generated similar to methods in [15] by finding group ring elements with $u^2 = 1, u^{\mathrm{T}} = u$ and then going over to the matrix representation as per [13]. Using for example $\mathbb{Z}_2 D_{16}$ will lead to a $(16, 8, 8; 1, 10)$ self-dual convolutional code.

6.2 Dual-containing convolutional systems

A dual-containing code is one whose dual is contained within the code. A self-dual code is a dual-containing code of rate 1/2. It is interesting to construct rate $1/2, 3/4, 7/8$ etc. dual-containing codes as quantum convolutional codes may be constructed from these.

Say $G[z]$ is the generator matrix for a dual containing convolutional code $\mathcal{C}$ if the code generated by $H[z^{-1}]^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $H[z]$ is a control matrix for $\mathcal{C}$, is contained in $\mathcal{C}$.

Dual-containing convolutional codes may be constructed as follows.

Let U be an orthogonal $4n \times 4n$ matrix $UU^* = 1$ with $U = \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B\\ C\\ D \end{pmatrix}$ and $U^{\mathrm{T}} = (A^{\mathrm{T}}, B^{\mathrm{T}}, C^{\mathrm{T}}, D^{\mathrm{T}})$ for blocks A, B, C, D of size $n \times 4n$. Define

$$G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B\\ C \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B\\ C\\ D \end{pmatrix} z.$$

Then for $K[z] = (A^{\mathrm{T}}, B^{\mathrm{T}}, C^{\mathrm{T}}) - (\underline{0}, A^{\mathrm{T}}, B^{\mathrm{T}})z + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, A^{\mathrm{T}})z^2$ it is seen that $G[z]K[z] = I_{3n}$ and so $G[z]$ is a noncatastrophic generator matrix for a convolutional $(4n, 3n, 3n; 1)$ code.

Now $G[z]\{D^{\mathrm{T}} - C^{\mathrm{T}}z + B^{\mathrm{T}}z^2 - A^{\mathrm{T}}z^3\} = 0_{3n\times n}$ as may easily be verified. Let $H[z^{-1}] = A^{\mathrm{T}} - B^{\mathrm{T}}z^{-1} + C^{\mathrm{T}}z^{-2} - D^{\mathrm{T}}z^{-3}$. Then $H[z^{-1}]z^3 = D^{\mathrm{T}} - C^{\mathrm{T}}z + B^{\mathrm{T}}z^2 - A^{\mathrm{T}}z^3$. Thus $H[z^{-1}]$ is a control matrix for the code. To show that the code with generator $G[z]$ is dual containing it is necessary to show that the code generated by $H[z]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is contained in the code $\mathcal{C}$ generated by $G[z]$.

Suppose the characteristic of the field is 2. Then $H[z]^{\mathrm{T}} = \{(I_n, \underline{0}, \underline{0}) + (\underline{0}, \underline{0}, I_n)z^2\}G[z]$ and hence code generated by $H[z]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is dual-containing. The rank of the code is $3n$ and the rank of the dual is n.

Other dual-containing codes may be obtained by permuting $\{A, B, C, D\}$ in $G[z]$.

Here is a specific example. Consider $u = a + a^4 + a^7$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2 C_8$ where C_8 is generated by a. Then $u^4 = 1, u^2 \neq 1, u^T = u$. Thus $u^2 = a^2 + 1 + a^6$ is orthogonal matrix and is symmetric. The matrix of u^2 has the form $U = \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B\\ C\\ D \end{pmatrix}$ and U is orthogonal and symmetric. Then $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A\\ B\\ C \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B\\ C\\ D \end{pmatrix} z$ determines a dual-containing $(8, 6, 6; 1)$ convolutional code.

Here

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&1&0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&1&0&1&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},\ B = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&1&0&1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&1&0&1&0&0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&1&0&1&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&1&0&1 \end{pmatrix},\ D = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&1&0&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0&0&1&0&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The free distance is 4.

We may also construct self-dual codes from this set-up. Again U is an orthogonal $4n \times 4n$ matrix

$UU^* = 1$ with $U = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ and $U^{\mathrm{T}} = (A^{\mathrm{T}}, B^{\mathrm{T}}, C^{\mathrm{T}}, D^{\mathrm{T}})$ for blocks A, B, C, D of size $n \times 4n$. Define $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $G[z]\{(C^{\mathrm{T}}, D^{\mathrm{T}}) - (A^{\mathrm{T}}, B^{\mathrm{T}})z\} = 0_{2n \times 2n}$ and $G[z]$ is a generator matrix for convolutional code which is self-dual in characteristic 2. By permuting the $\{A, B, C, D\}$ other different self-dual convolutional codes may be obtained. Note that $\{A, B, C, D\}$ must be different as U is invertible and this this gives 4! self-dual convolutional codes. The distances of the codes depend on the distances of the linear codes generated by $\{A, B, C, D\}$.

Here are examples of dual-containing code in characteristic 3. Suppose U with rows $\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Then $UV = I$ where V has columns $\{{e_0}^{\mathrm{T}}, {e_1}^{\mathrm{T}}, {e_2}^{\mathrm{T}}\}$. Consider $G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} z$. Then $G[z]\{{e_2}^{\mathrm{T}} - {e_1}^{\mathrm{T}} + {e_0}^{\mathrm{T}} z^2\} = 0_{2\times 1}$ and thus $H[z] = e_0 - e_1 z + e_2 z^2$ satisfies $G[z]H[z]^{\mathrm{T}} = 0_{2\times 1}$. Now $((1,0) + (0,1)z)G[z] = e_0 + e_1 z + e_1 z + e_2 z^2 = e_0 - e_1 z + e_2 z^2$ in characteristic 3. Thus the code generated by $H[z]$ is contained in the code generated by $G[z]$ and hence the code generated by $G[z]$ is dual-containing. The code is a $(3, 2)$ code and the dual is a $(3, 1)$ code.

Larger rate dual-containing codes may also be constructed. Here we indicate how dual-containing convolutional codes of rate 7/8 can be constructed. The process may be continued for higher rates. Let U be an orthogonal $8n \times 8n$ matrix $UU^{\mathrm{T}} = 1$ with $U = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_7 \end{pmatrix}$ and $U^{\mathrm{T}} = ({A_0}^{\mathrm{T}}, {A_1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \ldots, {A_7}^{\mathrm{T}})$ for blocks A_i of size $n \times 8n$. Define

$$G[z] = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_6 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_7 \end{pmatrix} z \tag{4}$$

Then $G[z]\{{A_7}^{\mathrm{T}} - {A_6}^{\mathrm{T}} z + \ldots + {A_0}^{\mathrm{T}} z^7\} = 0_{7n\times n}$. It may then be shown that $G[z]$ is a generator matrix for a convolutional $(8n, 7n, 7n; 1)$ code which is dual-containing when the characteristic is 2.

Specifically For example consider FC_{16}, with F of characteristic 2 and C_{16} is generated by a. Let $u = a + a^7 + a^8 + a^9 + a^{15}$. Then $u^2 = 1, u^{\mathrm{T}} = u$. The matrix U corresponding to u as per the isomorphism in [13] is circulant and has the form $\begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_7 \end{pmatrix}$ for 2×16 matrices A_i. The resulting $G[z]$ in equation 4 is a dual-containing convolutional $(16, 14, 14; 1, 4)$ code; the rate is $\frac{7}{8}$. By taking pairs of the A_i together and forming for example $G[z] = \left(\begin{array}{c} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ \hline A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \hline A_4 \\ A_5 \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \hline A_4 \\ A_5 \\ \hline A_6 \\ A_7 \end{array}\right) z$ give $(16, 12, 12; 1)$ dual-containing convolutional codes.

7 Summary and Conclusions

- Methods are devised for constructing and analysing series of convolutional codes from unit schemes.
- The constructions involve using rows or blocks of invertible schemes and are relatively easy to describe and implement.
- The right inverse of a noncatastrophic generator matrix of such a code and the control matrix are functions of the columns or blocks of the inverse of the scheme; these may often then be calculated

directly.

- The structure of the control matrix in the form given can lead to easily implementable error-correcting algebraic techniques. When the errors have been corrected, the information vector may be obtained by multiplying by the right inverse of the generating matrix.
- The free distance or lower bound on the free distance can often be proved algebraically. For some of the constructions, the distance of the codeword increases with the support of the information vector.
- Many different convolutional codes, of the same or different rates, may be derived from a single unit scheme type.
- Series of 'good' convolutional codes may be designed. These can be designed by using unit schemes with special properties. For example when a matrix has the property that every subdeterminantant is non-zero then the resulting convolutional codes derived therefrom have 'good' properties.
- The general constructions are embracing and the explicit constructions/examples given, as well as having their own intrinsic interest, are an indication of further potential constructions from the general schemes which should be investigated. Many existing constructions in the literature occur as special cases of the general construction here.
- Convolutional codes may be decoded by known techniques, such as Viterbi decoding or sequential decoding, [1] Chapter 11, [16] or [17], and algebraic decoding algorithms are known to exist for some codes, see [8], [23]. Here efficient algebraic decoding algorithms are developed for some of the convolutional codes introduced.
- Using special unit schemes, self-dual and dual-containing convolutional codes may be designed and analysed. Dual-containing codes are important for the construction of quantum codes, [2].
- Series of LDPC (low density parity check) convolutional codes may be designed and analysed using unit schemes where the inverse has 'low density'; these may be designed so that the control matrices have no short cycles.

References

[1] Richard E. Blahut, *Algebraic Codes for data transmission*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[2] A.R. Calderbank, E.M. Rains, P.M. Shor and N.J.A. Sloane. "Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 44(4), pp. 1369-1387, 1998.

[3] C. H. Chan and M. Xiong, "Construction of unit-memory MDS convolutional codes", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 62(10), 2015.

[4] S. A. Aly, M. Grassl, A. Klappenecker, M. Rötteler, and P. K. Sarvepalli, "Quantum convolutional BCH codes", Proc. IEEE 10th CWIT, 180-183, 2007.

[5] P. E. Frenkel, "Simple proof of Chebotarëv's theorem on roots of unity", arXiv:math/0312398.

[6] P. J. Almeida, D. Napp and R.Pinto "Superregular matrices and applications to convolutional codes", arXiv:1601.02960

[7] Gluesing-Luerssen, Heide & Schmale, Wiland, "On cyclic convolutional codes", Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, Vol. 82, No. 2, 2004, 183-237.

[8] H. Gluesing-Luerssen, U. Helmke, J. I. Iglesias Curto, "Algebraic decoding for doubly cyclic convolutional codes", arXiv:0908.0753.

[9] Diego Napp and Roxana Smarandache, "Constructing strongly-MDS convolutional codes with maximum distance", Advances in Mathematics of Communications, Vol. 10, No. 2, 275-290, 2016.

[10] Daniel Goldstein, Robert M. Guralnick, and I. M. Isaacs, "Inequalities for finite group permutation modules", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 10, 4017-4042, 2005.

[11] Paul Hurley and Ted Hurley, "Module codes in group rings", ISIT2007, Nice, 2007, 1981-1985.

[12] Barry Hurley and Ted Hurley, "Systems of MDS codes from units and idempotents", Discrete Math., 335, 81-91, 2014.

[13] Ted Hurley, "Group rings and rings of matrices", Inter. J. Pure & Appl. Math., 31, no.3, 2006, 319-335.

[14] Ted Hurley, "Convolutional codes from units in matrix and group rings", Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 50, no. 3, 431-463, 2009.

[15] Ted Hurley, "Self-dual, dual-containing and related quantum codes from group rings", arXiv:0711.3983.

[16] Rolf Johannesson and Kamil Zigangirov, *Fundamentals of Convolutional Coding*, Wiley-IEEE Press, 1999.

[17] R.J. McEliece, *Theory of Information and Coding*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[18] R. J. McEliece, "The algebraic theory of convolutional codes", in *Handbook of Coding Theory, Volume I*, North Holland, Elsevier Science, 1998.

[19] César Milies & Sudarshan Sehgal, *An introduction to Group Rings*, Klumer, 2002.

[20] Jessica O'Shaughnessy, "Convolutional codes from group rings", Intl. J. Information and Coding Theory, 2(4): 171-190 (2014). See also Jessica O'Shaughnessy, Thesis, National University of Ireland 2011.

[21] J. Rosenthal & R. Smarandache, "Maximum distance separable convolutional codes", Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 10 (1), 15-32, 1999.

[22] Rosenthal, J., "Connections between linear systems and convolutional codes", pp. 39-66 in: Marcus, B; Rosenthal, J. *Codes, systems, and graphical models*, Minneapolis, New York, 1999.

[23] J. Rosenthal, " An algebraic decoding algorithm for convolutional codes", in G. Picci and D.S. Gilliam, editors, Dynamical Systems, Control, Coding, Computer Vision: New Trends, Interfaces, and Interplay, pages 343-360. Birkhuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1999.

[24] Paul Hurley and Ted Hurley, "Codes from zero-divisors and units in group rings", Int. J. Inform. and Coding Theory, 1, 57-87, 2009.

[25] Paul Hurley and Ted Hurley, "Block codes from matrix and group rings", Chapter 5, 159-194, in *Selected Topics in Information and Coding Theory* eds. I. Woungang, S. Misra, S.C. Misma, World Scientific 2010.

[26] Paul Hurley and Ted Hurley, "LDPC and convolutional codes from matrix and group rings", Chapter 6, 195-239, in *Selected Topics in Information and Coding Theory* eds. I. Woungang, S. Misra, S.C. Misma, World Scientific 2010.

[27] M. Grassl and M. Rötteler, "Quantum block and convolutional codes from self-orthogonal product codes" Proceedings 2005 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2005), 1018-1022, 2005, see also arXiv:quant-ph/0703181

[28] Ted Hurley, Paul McEvoy and Jakub Wenus, "Algebraic constructions of LDPC codes with no short cycles", Intl. J. of Inform. and Coding Theory, Vol 1, Issue 3, 285-297, 2010.

[29] F. Pakovich, "A remark on the Chebotarëv Theorem about roots of unity", Integers: Elec. J. of Combinatorial Number Theory, 7, # A18, (2 pages), 2007.

[30] R. Pellikaan, "On decoding by error location and dependent sets of error positions", Discrete Math., Vol. 106/107, 369-381, 1992.

[31] R. Smarandache, H. Gluesing-Luerssen, J. Rosenthal, "Constructions for MDS-convolutional codes", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. IT-47, 2045-2049, 2001.

[32] P. Stevenhagen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., "Chebotarëv and his density theorem", Math. Intell. 18, 26-37, 1996.

[33] Terence Tao, "An uncertainty principle for cyclic groups of prime order", Math. Res. Lett., 12, no. 1, 121-127, 2005.